



University of  
Zurich<sup>UZH</sup>

Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2014

---

## Search for $WW \gamma$ and $WZ \gamma$ production and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

CMS Collaboration ; Canelli, M F ; Chiochia, V ; Kilminster, B ; Robmann, P ; et al

Abstract: A search for  $WV \gamma$  triple vector boson production is presented based on events containing a  $W$  boson decaying to a muon or an electron and a neutrino, a second  $V$  ( $W$  or  $Z$ ) boson, and a photon. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of 19.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected in 2012 with the CMS detector at the LHC in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. An upper limit of 311 fb on the cross section for the  $WV \gamma$  production process is obtained at 95% confidence level for photons with a transverse energy above 30 GeV and with an absolute value of pseudorapidity of less than 1.44. This limit is approximately a factor of 3.4 larger than the standard model predictions that are based on next-to-leading order QCD calculations. Since no evidence of anomalous  $WW\gamma$  or  $WWZ \gamma$  quartic gauge boson couplings is found, this paper presents the first experimental limits on the dimension-eight parameter  $f_{T,0}$  and the  $CP$ -conserving  $WWZ \gamma$  parameters  $\kappa_0^W$  and  $\kappa_C^W$ . Limits are also obtained for the  $WW \gamma\gamma$  parameters  $a_0^W$  and  $a_C^W$ .

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.032008>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-108345>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

CMS Collaboration; Canelli, M F; Chiochia, V; Kilminster, B; Robmann, P; et al (2014). Search for  $WW \gamma$  and  $WZ \gamma$  production and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. Physical Review D (Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology), 90(032008):online.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.032008>

CERN-PH-EP/2013-037  
2014/08/28

CMS-SMP-13-009

# A search for $WW\gamma$ and $WZ\gamma$ production and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration\*

## Abstract

A search for  $WV\gamma$  triple vector boson production is presented based on events containing a W boson decaying to a muon or an electron and a neutrino, a second V (W or Z) boson, and a photon. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of  $19.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  collected in 2012 with the CMS detector at the LHC in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV. An upper limit of 311 fb on the cross section for the  $WV\gamma$  production process is obtained at 95% confidence level for photons with a transverse energy above 30 GeV and with an absolute value of pseudorapidity of less than 1.44. This limit is approximately a factor of 3.4 larger than the standard model predictions that are based on next-to-leading order QCD calculations. Since no evidence of anomalous  $WW\gamma\gamma$  or  $WWZ\gamma$  quartic gauge boson couplings is found, this paper presents the first experimental limits on the dimension-8 parameter  $f_{T,0}$  and the CP-conserving  $WWZ\gamma$  parameters  $\kappa_0^W$  and  $\kappa_C^W$ . Limits are also obtained for the  $WW\gamma\gamma$  parameters  $a_0^W$  and  $a_C^W$ .

*Published in Physical Review D as doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.032008.*



## 1 Introduction

The standard model (SM) of particle physics provides a good description of the existing high-energy data [1]. The diboson  $WW$  and  $WZ$  production cross sections have been precisely measured at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and are in agreement with SM expectations [2–6]. This paper presents a search for the production of three gauge bosons  $WW\gamma$  and  $WZ\gamma$ , together denoted as  $WV\gamma$ . It represents an extension of the measurement of diboson production presented in Ref. [3], with the additional requirement of an energetic photon in the final state. Previous searches for triple vector boson production, when at least two bosons are massive, were performed at LEP [7–11].

The structure of gauge boson self-interactions emerges naturally in the SM from the non-Abelian  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$  gauge symmetry. Together with the triple  $WV\gamma$  gauge boson vertices, the SM also predicts the existence of the quartic  $WWWW$ ,  $WWZZ$ ,  $WWZ\gamma$ , and  $WW\gamma\gamma$  vertices. The direct investigation of gauge boson self-interactions provides a crucial test of the gauge structure of the SM, and one that is all the more significant at LHC energies [12].

The study of gauge boson self-interactions may also provide evidence for the existence of new phenomena at a higher energy scale [13–16]. Possible new physics beyond the SM, expressed in a model independent way by higher-dimensional effective operators [17–22], can be implemented with anomalous triple gauge and quartic gauge couplings (AQGC), both of which contribute in triple gauge boson production. A deviation of one of the couplings from the SM prediction could manifest itself in an enhanced production cross section, as well as a change in the shape of the kinematic distributions of the  $WV\gamma$  system. CMS recently obtained a stringent limit on the anomalous  $WW\gamma\gamma$  quartic coupling via the exclusive two-photon production of  $W^+W^-$  [23].

This paper presents a search for  $WV\gamma$  production in the single lepton final state, which includes  $W(\rightarrow \ell\nu)W(\rightarrow jj)\gamma$  and  $W(\rightarrow \ell\nu)Z(\rightarrow jj)\gamma$  processes, with  $\ell = e, \mu$ . The data used in this analysis correspond to a total integrated luminosity of  $19.3 \pm 0.5$  ( $19.2 \pm 0.5$ )  $\text{fb}^{-1}$  [24] collected with the CMS detector in the muon (electron) channel in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$  in 2012. The hadronic decay mode is chosen because the branching fraction is substantially higher than that of the leptonic mode. However, the two production processes  $WW\gamma$  and  $WZ\gamma$  cannot be clearly differentiated since the detector dijet mass resolution ( $\sigma \sim 10\%$ ) [25] is comparable to the mass difference between the  $W$  and  $Z$  bosons. Therefore,  $WW\gamma$  and  $WZ\gamma$  processes are treated as a single combined signal.

## 2 Theoretical framework

An effective field theory approach is adopted in which higher-dimensional operators supplement the SM Lagrangian to include anomalous gauge couplings. Within this framework, anomalous boson interactions can be parametrized using two possible representations. The first is a nonlinear realization of the  $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$  gauge symmetry that is broken by means other than the conventional Higgs scalar doublet [18, 19]. The quartic boson interactions involving photons appear as dimension-6 operators. The second is a linear realization of the symmetry that is broken by the conventional Higgs scalar doublet [18, 20]. The quartic interactions involving photons appear as dimension-8 operators.

Some of the operators within one realization share similar Lorentz structures with operators from the other, so that their parameters can be expressed simply in terms of each other, whereas others cannot. While the discovery of the SM Higgs boson makes the linear realization more

appropriate for AQGC searches [13, 20], it contains 14 such operators that can contribute to the anomalous coupling signal. In addition, all published AQGC limits to date are expressed in terms of dimension-6 parameters. To bridge this divide, we select four dimension-6 parameters, two of which have not been previously measured, and the other two are used to compare with previous results [8, 18]. These parameters also have dimension-8 analogues. Finally, we include a representative parameter from the linear realization,  $f_{T,0}$ , which has no dimension-6 analogue.

The Feynman diagrams for the quartic vertices are shown in Figure 1, and the CP-conserving, anomalous interaction Lagrangian terms chosen for this analysis are written in Eq. (1).




Figure 1: Feynman diagrams that involve a quartic vector boson vertex. Both diagrams are present in the SM.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{AQGC}} = & -\frac{e^2 a_0^W}{8 \Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} W^{+\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{-} - \frac{e^2 a_C^W}{16 \Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\alpha} (W^{+\nu} W_{\alpha}^{-} + W^{-\nu} W_{\alpha}^{+}) \\ & - e^2 g^2 \frac{\kappa_0^W}{\Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} W^{+\alpha} W_{\alpha}^{-} - \frac{e^2 g^2 \kappa_C^W}{2 \Lambda^2} F_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\alpha} (W^{+\nu} W_{\alpha}^{-} + W^{-\nu} W_{\alpha}^{+}) \\ & + \frac{f_{T,0}}{\Lambda^4} \text{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu}] \times \text{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{W}^{\alpha\beta}]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The energy scale of possible new physics is represented by  $\Lambda$ ,  $g = e / \sin(\theta_W)$ ,  $\theta_W$  is the Weinberg angle,  $e$  is the unit of electric charge, and the usual field tensors are defined in Ref. [18–20]. The dimension-6 parameters  $a_0^W / \Lambda^2$  and  $a_C^W / \Lambda^2$  are associated with the  $WW\gamma\gamma$  vertex and the  $\kappa_0^W / \Lambda^2$  and  $\kappa_C^W / \Lambda^2$  parameters are associated with the  $WWZ\gamma$  vertex. The dimension-8 parameter  $f_{T,0} / \Lambda^4$  contributes to both vertices. The  $a_{0,C}^W / \Lambda^2$  coupling parameters have dimension-8 analogues, the  $f_{M,i} / \Lambda^4$  coupling parameters. The relationship between the two is as follows [18](Eq. 3.35):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_0^W}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{4M_W^2}{g^2} \frac{f_{M,0}}{\Lambda^4} - \frac{8M_W^2}{g'^2} \frac{f_{M,2}}{\Lambda^4}, \\ \frac{a_C^W}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{4M_W^2}{g^2} \frac{f_{M,1}}{\Lambda^4} + \frac{8M_W^2}{g'^2} \frac{f_{M,3}}{\Lambda^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $g' = e / \cos(\theta_W)$  and  $M_W$  is the invariant mass of the  $W$  boson. The expressions listed in Eq. (2) are used to translate the AQGC limits obtained for  $a_{0,C}^W / \Lambda^2$ , into limits on  $f_{M,i} / \Lambda^4$ . It is also required that  $f_{M,0} = 2 \times f_{M,2}$  and  $f_{M,1} = 2 \times f_{M,3}$ , which results in the suppression of the contributions to the  $WWZ\gamma$  vertex in Eq. (2), as can be seen from [19] Eq. 22 and Eq. 23.

Any nonzero value of the AQGCs will lead to tree-level unitarity violation at sufficiently high energy. We find that the unitarity condition [26] cannot be generally satisfied by the addition of

a dipole form factor; however, unitarity conserving new physics with a structure more complex than that represented by a dipole form factor is possible. Since the structure of new physics is not known *a priori*, the choice is made to set limits without using a form factor.

### 3 The CMS detector

The central feature of the Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter and 13 m length, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the superconducting solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL), and a brass/scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL). Muons are reconstructed in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. Extensive forward calorimetry complements the coverage provided by the brass/scintillator section of the hadronic calorimeter.

The CMS experiment uses a right-handed coordinate system, with the origin at the nominal interaction point, the  $x$  axis pointing to the center of the LHC ring, the  $y$  axis pointing up (perpendicular to the LHC plane), and the  $z$  axis along the counterclockwise beam direction. The polar angle  $\theta$  is measured from the positive  $z$  axis and the azimuthal angle  $\phi$  is measured in radians in the  $x$ - $y$  plane. The pseudorapidity  $\eta$  is defined as  $\eta = -\ln[\tan(\theta/2)]$ .

The energy resolution for photons with transverse energy ( $E_T$ ) of 60 GeV varies between 1.1% and 2.6% in the ECAL barrel, and from 2.2% to 5% in the endcaps [27]. The HCAL, when combined with the ECAL, measures jets with a resolution  $\Delta E/E \approx 100\%/\sqrt{E[\text{GeV}]} \oplus 5\%$  [28]. To improve the reconstruction of jets, the tracking and calorimeter information is combined using a particle flow (PF) reconstruction technique [29]. The jet energy resolution typically amounts to 15% at 10 GeV, 8% at 100 GeV, and 4% at 1 TeV.

A more detailed description of the CMS detector can be found in Ref. [30].

### 4 Event simulation

All Monte Carlo (MC) simulation samples, except for the single-top-quark samples, are generated with the MADGRAPH 5.1.3.22 [31] event generator using the CTEQ6L1 parton distribution functions (PDF). Single-top-quark samples are generated with POWHEG (v1.0, r1380) [32–36] with the CTEQ6M PDF set [37, 38]. The matrix element calculation is used, and outgoing partons are matched to parton showers from PYTHIA 6.426 [39] tune Z2\* [40] with a matching threshold of 20 GeV and a dynamic factorization ( $\mu_F$ ) and renormalization ( $\mu_R$ ) scale given by  $\sqrt{m_{W/Z}^2 + p_{T,W/Z}^2}$ . The next-to-leading-order/leading-order (NLO/LO) QCD cross section correction factors (K-factors) for  $WV\gamma$  and AQGC diagrams are derived using the NLO cross sections calculated with aMC@NLO [41]. The MSTW2008nlo68cl [42] PDF set is used to calculate the PDF uncertainty following the prescription of Ref. [43]. The K-factor obtained for  $WV\gamma$  is consistent with a constant value of 2.1 for photons with  $E_T > 30$  GeV and  $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.5$ . The K-factor for AQGC diagrams is found to be close to 1.2. A summary of the contributing processes and their cross section is given in Table 1.

To simulate the signal events for a given AQGC parameter set, several samples are generated with a range of parameter values and the other AQGC parameters are set to zero.

A GEANT4-based simulation [44] of the CMS detector is used in the production of all MC samples. All simulated events are reconstructed and analyzed with the same algorithms that are used for the LHC collision events. Additional corrections (scale factors) are applied to take into

Table 1: Cross sections used to normalize the simulated samples. All cross sections are given for a photon  $E_T > 10$  GeV,  $|\eta^\gamma| < 2.5$ . The order of the cross section calculation is also indicated. The normalization for the  $W\gamma$ +jets sample is derived from data.

Process		Cross section [pb]
SM $WW\gamma$	(NLO)	$0.090 \pm 0.021$
SM $WZ\gamma$	(NLO)	$0.012 \pm 0.003$
$W\gamma$ + jets	(Data)	$10.9 \pm 0.8$
$Z\gamma$ + jets	(LO)	$0.63 \pm 0.13$
$t\bar{t}\gamma$	(LO)	$0.62 \pm 0.12$
Single $t$ + $\gamma$ (inclusive)	(NLO)	$0.31 \pm 0.01$

account the difference in lepton reconstruction and identification efficiencies observed between data and simulated events. For all simulated samples, the hard-interaction collision is overlaid with the appropriate number of simulated minimum bias collisions. The resulting events are weighted to reproduce the distribution of the number of inelastic collisions per bunch crossing (pileup) inferred from data.

## 5 Event reconstruction and selection

The data used in this analysis corresponds to a total integrated luminosity of  $19.3 \pm 0.5$  ( $19.2 \pm 0.5$ )  $\text{fb}^{-1}$  [24] collected with the CMS detector in the muon (electron) channel in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV in 2012. The data were recorded with single-lepton triggers using  $p_T$  thresholds of 24 GeV for muons and 27 GeV for electrons. The overall trigger efficiency is about 94% (90%) for muon (electron) data, with a small dependence (a few percent) on  $p_T$  and  $\eta$ . Simulated events are corrected for the trigger efficiency as a function of lepton  $p_T$  and  $\eta$ .

The events used in this analysis are characterized by the production of a photon plus a pair of massive gauge bosons ( $WW$  or  $WZ$ ), where one  $W$  boson decays to leptons and the other boson ( $W$  or  $Z$ ) decays to quarks. To select leptonic  $W$  boson decays, we require either one muon ( $p_T > 25$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$ ) or one electron ( $p_T > 30$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.5$ , excluding the transition region between the ECAL barrel and endcaps  $1.44 < |\eta| < 1.57$  because the reconstruction of an electron in this region is not optimal). The offline lepton  $p_T$  thresholds is set in the stable, high-efficiency region above the corresponding trigger thresholds. Events with additional leptons with  $p_T > 10$  (20) GeV for muons(electrons) are vetoed in order to reduce backgrounds. The escaping neutrino results in missing transverse energy ( $\cancel{E}_T$ ) in the reconstructed event. Therefore a selection requirement of  $\cancel{E}_T > 35$  GeV is applied to reject the multijet backgrounds. The reconstructed transverse mass of the leptonically decaying  $W$ , defined as  $\sqrt{p_T^\ell \cancel{E}_T [1 - \cos(\Delta\phi_{\ell/\cancel{E}_T}]}$ , where  $\Delta\phi_{\ell/\cancel{E}_T}$  is the azimuthal angle between the lepton and the  $\cancel{E}_T$  directions, is then required to exceed 30 GeV [45]. At least two jet candidates are required to satisfy  $p_T > 30$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 2.4$ . The highest  $p_T$  jet candidates are chosen to form the hadronically decaying boson with mass  $m_{jj}$ . The photon candidate must satisfy  $E_T > 30$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 1.44$ . Events with the photon candidate in one of the endcaps ( $|\eta| > 1.57$ ) are excluded from the selection because their signal purity is lower and systematic uncertainties are larger.

Jets and  $\cancel{E}_T$  [45, 46] are formed from particles reconstructed using the PF algorithm. Jets are formed with the anti- $k_T$  clustering algorithm [47] with a distance parameter of 0.5. Charged particles with tracks not originating from the primary vertex are not considered for jet clustering [48, 49]. The primary vertex of the event is chosen to be the vertex with the highest  $\sum p_T^2$  of its associated tracks. Jets are required to satisfy identification criteria that eliminate candidates

originating from noisy channels in the hadron calorimeter [50]. Jet energy corrections [25] are applied to account for the jet energy response as a function of  $\eta$  and  $p_T$ , and to correct for contributions from event pileup. Jets from pileup are identified and removed using the trajectories of tracks associated with the jets, the topology of the jet shape and the constituent multiplicities [48, 49].

The azimuthal separation between the highest  $p_T$  jet and the  $\cancel{E}_T$  direction is required to be larger than 0.4 radians. This criterion reduces the QCD multijet background where the  $\cancel{E}_T$  can arise from a mismeasurement of the leading jet energy. To reduce the background from  $W\gamma$ +jets events, requirements on the dijet invariant mass  $70 < m_{jj} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ , and on the separation between the jets of  $|\Delta\eta_{jj}| < 1.4$ , are imposed. In order to reject top-quark backgrounds, the two jets are also required to fail a b quark jet tagging requirement. The combined secondary vertex algorithm [51] is used, with a discriminator based on the displaced vertex expected from b hadron decays. This algorithm selects b hadrons with about 70% efficiency, and has a 1% misidentification probability. The anti-b tag requirement suppresses approximately 7% of the  $WW\gamma$  and 10% of the  $WZ\gamma$  signal via the  $W \rightarrow c\bar{s}$ ,  $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  and  $Z \rightarrow c\bar{c}$  decays. These effects are taken into account in the analysis.

Muon candidates are reconstructed by combining information from the silicon tracker and from the muon detector by means of a global track fit. The muon candidates are required to pass the standard CMS muon identification and the track quality criteria [52]. The isolation variables used in the muon selection are based on the PF algorithm and are corrected for the contribution from pileup. The muon candidates have a selection efficiency of approximately 96%.

Electrons are reconstructed from clusters [27, 53–55] of ECAL energy deposits matched to tracks in the silicon tracker within the ECAL fiducial volume, with the exclusion of the transition region between the barrel and the endcaps previously defined. The electron candidates are required to be consistent with a particle originating from the primary vertex in the event. The isolation variables used in the electron selection are based on the PF algorithm and are corrected for the contribution from pileup. The electron selection efficiency is approximately 80%. To suppress the  $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$  background in the electron channel, where one electron is misidentified as a photon, a Z boson mass veto of  $|M_Z - m_{e\gamma}| > 10 \text{ GeV}$  is applied. The impact on the signal efficiency from applying such a suppression is negligible.

Photon candidates are reconstructed from clusters of cells with significant energy deposition in the ECAL. The candidates are required to be within the ECAL barrel fiducial region ( $|\eta| < 1.44$ ). The observables used in the photon selection are isolation variables based on the PF algorithm and they are corrected for the contribution due to pileup, the ratio of hadronic energy in the HCAL that is matched in  $(\eta, \phi)$  to the electromagnetic energy in the ECAL, the transverse width of the electromagnetic shower, and an electron track veto.

## 6 Background modeling

The main background contribution arises from  $W\gamma$ +jets production. After imposing the requirements described above, a binned maximum likelihood fit to the dijet invariant mass distribution  $m_{jj}$  of the two leading jets is performed. The signal region corresponding to the W and Z mass windows,  $70 < m_{jj} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ , is excluded from the fit. The contamination from  $WV\gamma$  processes outside of the signal region is less than 1%. The shape of the  $W\gamma$ +jets  $m_{jj}$  distribution is obtained from simulation, and the normalization of this background component is unconstrained in the fit. The normalization of the contribution from misidentified photons is allowed to float within a Gaussian constraint of 14% (Section 7). The post-fit ratio  $K = \sigma_{\text{fit}}/\sigma_{\text{LO}}$



for the  $W\gamma$ +jets background is  $1.10 \pm 0.07$  ( $1.07 \pm 0.09$ ) in the muon (electron) channel.

The background from misidentified photons arises mainly from the  $W+3$  jets process, where one jet passes the photon identification criteria. The total contribution from misidentified photons is estimated using a data control sample, where all selection criteria except for the isolation requirement are applied. The shower shape distribution is then used to estimate the total rate of misidentified photons. Details on the method can be found in Ref. [56]. The fraction of the total background from misidentified photons decreases with photon  $E_T$  from a maximum of 23% ( $p_T = 30$  GeV) to 8% ( $p_T > 135$  GeV).

The multijet background is due to misidentified leptons from jets that satisfy the muon or electron selection requirements. It is estimated by using a two component fit to the  $\cancel{E}_T$  distribution in data. The procedure is described in [3], and was repeated for the 8 TeV data. The multijet contribution is estimated to be 6.2% for the electron channel, with a 50% uncertainty, and is negligible for the muon channel.

Other background contributions arise from top-quark pair production, single-top-quark production, and  $Z\gamma$ +jets. These are taken from simulation and are fixed to their SM expectations, with the central values and uncertainties listed in Table 1. The top-quark pair process contribution comes from the presence of two  $W$  bosons in the decays. The  $Z\gamma$ +jets background can mimic the signal when the  $Z$  decays leptonically and one of the leptons is lost, resulting in  $\cancel{E}_T$ . The sum of the top-quark pair, single-top-quark, and  $Z\gamma$ +jets backgrounds represent about 8% of the expected SM background rate.

## 7 Systematic uncertainties

The uncertainties contributing to the measured rate of misidentified photons arise from two sources. First, the statistical uncertainty is taken from pseudo experiments drawn from the data control sample described in Section 6 and is estimated to be 5.6% rising to 37% with increasing photon  $E_T$ . The second arises from a bias in the shower shape of  $W+3$  jets simulation due to the inverted isolation requirements. This uncertainty is estimated to be less than 11%. The combined uncertainty on the photon misidentification rate, integrated over the  $E_T$  spectrum, is 14%.

The uncertainty in the measured value of the luminosity [24] is 2.6% and it contributes to the signal and those backgrounds that are taken from the MC prediction. Jet energy scale uncertainties contribute via selection thresholds on the jet  $p_T$  and dijet invariant mass by 4.3%. The small difference in  $\cancel{E}_T$  resolution [46] between data and simulation affects the signal selection efficiency by less than 1%. Systematic uncertainties due to the trigger efficiency in the data (1%) and lepton reconstruction and selection efficiencies (2%) are also accounted for. Photon reconstruction efficiency and energy scale uncertainties contribute to the signal selection efficiency at the 1% level. The uncertainty from the  $b$  jet tagging procedure is 2% on the data/simulation efficiency correction factor [51]. This has an effect of 11% on the  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  background, 5% on the single-top-quark background, and a negligible effect on the signal. The theoretical uncertainty in the  $t\bar{t}\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma$ +jets production is 20%.

The theoretical uncertainties in the  $WW\gamma$ ,  $WZ\gamma$ , and  $AQGC$  signal cross sections are evaluated using  $AMC@NLO$  samples. We vary the renormalization and factorization scales each by factors of 1/2 and 2, and require  $\mu_R = \mu_F$ , as described in Ref. [43]. We find that the scale-related uncertainties are 23%, and that the uncertainty due to the choice of PDF is 3.6%.

## 8 Upper limit on the standard model $WV\gamma$ cross section

The SM  $WV\gamma$  search is formulated as a simple counting experiment. The selected numbers of candidate events in the data are 183 (139) in the muon (electron) channel. The predicted number of background plus signal events is  $194.2 \pm 11.5$  ( $147.9 \pm 10.7$ ) in the muon (electron) channel, where the uncertainty includes statistical, systematic and luminosity related uncertainties. The event yield per process is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Expected number of events for each process. The predicted number of events for the  $W\gamma$ +jets and  $WV$ +jet processes, where the jet is reconstructed as a photon, are derived from data. The “Total prediction” item represents the sum of all the individual contributions.

Process	Muon channel number of events	Electron channel number of events
SM $WW\gamma$	$6.6 \pm 1.5$	$5.0 \pm 1.1$
SM $WZ\gamma$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$
$W\gamma$ + jets	$136.9 \pm 10.5$	$101.6 \pm 8.5$
$WV$ + jet, jet $\rightarrow \gamma$	$33.1 \pm 4.8$	$21.3 \pm 3.3$
MC $t\bar{t}\gamma$	$12.5 \pm 3.0$	$9.1 \pm 2.2$
MC single top quark	$2.8 \pm 0.8$	$1.7 \pm 0.6$
MC $Z\gamma$ + jets	$1.7 \pm 0.1$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$
Multijets	—	$7.2 \pm 5.1$
Total prediction	$194.2 \pm 11.5$	$147.9 \pm 10.7$
Data	183	139

Since there is no sign of an excess above the total background predictions, it is possible to set only an upper limit on  $WW\gamma$  and  $WZ\gamma$  cross sections, given the size of the current event sample. The limit is calculated from the event yields in Table 2 using a profile likelihood asymptotic approximation method (Appendix A.1.3 in Ref. [57], [58]). An observed upper limit of 311 fb is calculated for the inclusive cross section at 95% confidence level (CL), which is about 3.4 times larger than the standard model prediction of  $91.6 \pm 21.7$  fb (with photon  $E_T > 30$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 1.44$ ), calculated with AMC@NLO. The expected limit is 403 fb (4.4 times the SM).

## 9 Limits on anomalous quartic couplings

The photon  $E_T$  distribution is sensitive to AQGCs and is therefore used to set limits on the anomalous coupling parameters. Following the application of all selection criteria, the photon  $E_T$  distributions for data, the total background, and the individual signal models for the muon and electron channels are binned over the range 30–450 GeV. The photon  $E_T$  distributions for muon and electron channels are shown in Fig. 2, along with the predicted signal from  $WW\gamma\gamma$  AQGC for  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2 = 50 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$ . The last bin includes the overflow.

The upper limits are set utilizing a profile likelihood asymptotic approximation method (Appendix A.1.3 in Ref. [57], [58]), which takes the distributions from the two channels as independent inputs to be combined statistically into a single result. Each coupling parameter is varied over a set of discrete values, keeping the other parameters fixed to zero; this causes the signal distribution to be altered accordingly. The expected and observed signal strengths  $\sigma_{\text{excluded}}/\sigma_{\text{AQGC}}$  are then calculated and plotted against the corresponding coupling parameter values.

Figure 3 shows the observed and expected exclusion limits for the combination of muon and electron channels. Some positive/negative asymmetry is noticeable in the plots because of




Figure 2: Comparison of predicted and observed photon  $E_T$  distributions in the (left) muon and (right) electron channels. The rightmost bin includes the integral of events above 450 GeV for each process. The solid black line depicts a representative signal distribution with anomalous coupling parameter  $a_0^W / \Lambda^2 = 50 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$ .

SM/AQGC interference terms in the Lagrangian. Exclusion limits for  $a_0^W / \Lambda^2$ ,  $a_C^W / \Lambda^2$ ,  $f_{T,0} / \Lambda^4$ ,  $\kappa_0^W / \Lambda^2$ , and  $\kappa_C^W / \Lambda^2$  are computed at 95% CL, and are listed in Table 3. Table 4 reports the transformed dimension-8 limits from the limits on the  $a_0^W$  and  $a_C^W$  parameters.

Table 3: The 95% CL exclusion limits for each AQGC parameter from the combination of the muon and electron channels.

Observed limits	Expected limits
$-21 < a_0^W / \Lambda^2 < 20 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$	$-24 < a_0^W / \Lambda^2 < 23 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$
$-34 < a_C^W / \Lambda^2 < 32 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$	$-37 < a_C^W / \Lambda^2 < 34 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$
$-25 < f_{T,0} / \Lambda^4 < 24 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$	$-27 < f_{T,0} / \Lambda^4 < 27 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$
$-12 < \kappa_0^W / \Lambda^2 < 10 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$	$-12 < \kappa_0^W / \Lambda^2 < 12 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$
$-18 < \kappa_C^W / \Lambda^2 < 17 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$	$-19 < \kappa_C^W / \Lambda^2 < 18 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$

Table 4: The 95% CL exclusion limits for each dimension-8 AQGC parameter from the combination of the muon and electron channels.

Observed limits ( $\text{TeV}^{-4}$ )	Expected limits ( $\text{TeV}^{-4}$ )
$-77 < f_{M,0} / \Lambda^4 < 81$	$-89 < f_{M,0} / \Lambda^4 < 93$
$-131 < f_{M,1} / \Lambda^4 < 123$	$-143 < f_{M,1} / \Lambda^4 < 131$
$-39 < f_{M,2} / \Lambda^4 < 40$	$-44 < f_{M,2} / \Lambda^4 < 46$
$-66 < f_{M,3} / \Lambda^4 < 62$	$-71 < f_{M,3} / \Lambda^4 < 66$

Figure 4 shows the photon  $E_T$  distributions for a signal in the muon channel corresponding to AQGC parameters that are set to the limits we have obtained. The distributions for the various AQGC values are similar. The contribution from AQGC is prominent in the region  $E_T > 240 \text{ GeV}$ , where the expected number of signal events is approximately 1.4. The corresponding distributions for the electron channel are similar.

A comparison of several existing limits on the  $WW\gamma\gamma$  AQGC parameter is shown in Fig. 5. Existing limits include the result from exclusive  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$  production at CMS [23], in addition




Figure 3: 95% CL exclusion limits for (upper left)  $a_0^W / \Lambda^2$ , (upper right)  $a_C^W / \Lambda^2$ , (middle left)  $f_{T,0} / \Lambda^4$ , (middle right)  $\kappa_0^W / \Lambda^2$ , and (bottom)  $\kappa_C^W / \Lambda^2$ .

to results from the L3 [8] and the D0 [59] collaborations. All of the limits shown on AQGC are calculated without a form factor.

## 10 Summary

A search for  $WV\gamma$  triple vector boson production that results in constraints on anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings has been presented using events containing a  $W$  boson decaying to leptons, a second boson  $V$  ( $V = W$  or  $Z$ ) boson, and a photon. The data analyzed correspond to an integrated luminosity of  $19.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  collected in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$  in 2012 with the CMS detector at the LHC. An upper limit of 311 fb at 95% CL is obtained for the produc-




Figure 4: Expected photon  $E_T$  distributions after the selection for the muon channel is applied: SM prediction, SM plus AQGC prediction for  $a_0^W/\Lambda^2$ ,  $a_C^W/\Lambda^2$ ,  $f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4$ ,  $\kappa_0^W/\Lambda^2$ , and  $\kappa_C^W/\Lambda^2$ . Systematic and statistic uncertainties are shown. The last bin includes the overflow.

tion of  $WV\gamma$  with photon  $E_T > 30$  GeV and  $|\eta| < 1.44$ . No evidence for anomalous  $WW\gamma\gamma$  and  $WWZ\gamma$  quartic gauge couplings is found. The following constraints are obtained for these couplings at 95% CL:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -21 &< a_0^W/\Lambda^2 < 20 \text{ TeV}^{-2}, \\
 -34 &< a_C^W/\Lambda^2 < 32 \text{ TeV}^{-2}, \\
 -25 &< f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4 < 24 \text{ TeV}^{-4}, \\
 -12 &< \kappa_0^W/\Lambda^2 < 10 \text{ TeV}^{-2}, \quad \text{and} \\
 -18 &< \kappa_C^W/\Lambda^2 < 17 \text{ TeV}^{-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

These are the first experimental limits reported on  $f_{T,0}$  and the CP-conserving couplings  $\kappa_0^W$  and  $\kappa_C^W$ . Figure 5 compares the constraints on the  $WW\gamma\gamma$  AQGC parameter obtained from this study with those obtained in previous analyses.

## Acknowledgments

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centres and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, SF0690030s09 and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA




Figure 5: Comparison of the limits on the  $WW\gamma\gamma$  AQC parameter obtained from this study, together with results from exclusive  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$  production at CMS [23] and results from the L3 [8] and the D0 [59] collaborations. All limits on AQC are calculated without a form factor.

and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); NRF and WCU (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

Individuals have received support from the Marie-Curie programme and the European Research Council and EPLANET (European Union); the Leventis Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation; the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office; the Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture (FRIA-Belgium); the Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie (IWT-Belgium); the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) of Czech Republic; the Council of Science and Industrial Research, India; the Compagnia di San Paolo (Torino); the HOMING PLUS programme of Foundation for Polish Science, cofinanced by EU, Regional Development Fund; and the Thalís and Aristeia programmes cofinanced by EU-ESF and the Greek NSRF.

## References

- [1] Particle Data Group, J. Beringer et al., “Review of Particle Physics”, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 010001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001.
- [2] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the  $W^+W^-$  and  $ZZ$  production cross sections in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **721** (2013) 190,

- doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2013.03.027, arXiv:1301.4698.
- [3] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the sum of WW and WZ production with W+dijet events in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2283, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2283-3, arXiv:1210.7544.
  - [4] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the  $W^+W^-$  Cross section in pp Collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV and Limits on Anomalous  $WW\gamma$  and  $WWZ$  couplings”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2610, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2610-8, arXiv:1306.1126.
  - [5] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of  $W^+W^-$  production in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector and limits on anomalous WWZ and  $WW\gamma$  couplings”, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 112001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.112001, arXiv:1210.2979.
  - [6] ATLAS Collaboration, “Measurement of WZ production in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the ATLAS detector”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2173, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2173-0, arXiv:1208.1390.
  - [7] OPAL Collaboration, “Measurement of the  $W^+W^-\gamma$  cross-section and first direct limits on anomalous electroweak quartic gauge couplings”, *Phys. Lett. B* **471** (1999) 293, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(99)01357-X, arXiv:hep-ex/9910069.
  - [8] L3 Collaboration, “Study of the  $W^+W^-\gamma$  process and limits on anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings at LEP”, *Phys. Lett. B* **527** (2002) 29, doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01167-X, arXiv:hep-ex/0111029.
  - [9] DELPHI Collaboration, “Measurement of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$  gamma cross-section and limits on anomalous quartic gauge couplings with DELPHI”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **31** (2003) 139, doi:10.1140/epjc/s2003-01350-x, arXiv:hep-ex/0311004.
  - [10] ALEPH Collaboration, “Constraints on anomalous QGCs in e+e- interactions from 183 GeV to 209 GeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **602** (2004) 31, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2004.09.041.
  - [11] ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, LEP Electroweak Collaboration, “Electroweak Measurements in Electron-Positron Collisions at W-Boson-Pair Energies at LEP”, *Phys. Rept.* **532** (2013) 119, doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2013.07.004, arXiv:1302.3415.
  - [12] A. S. Belyaev et al., “Strongly interacting vector bosons at the CERN LHC: Quartic anomalous couplings”, *Phys. Rev. D* **59** (1998) 015022, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.015022, arXiv:hep-ph/9805229.
  - [13] H. Aihara et al., “Anomalous Gauge Boson Interactions”, in *Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and New Physics at the TeV Scale*, p. 488. World Scientific, Singapore, 1996. arXiv:hep-ph/9503425.
  - [14] C. Du et al., “Discovering New Gauge Bosons of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking at LHC-8”, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 095011, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.095011, arXiv:1206.6022.
  - [15] S. Fichtel and G. von Gersdorff, “Anomalous gauge couplings from composite Higgs and warped extra dimensions”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **1403** (2014) 102, doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2014)102, arXiv:1311.6815.

- [16] G. F. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol, and R. Rattazzi, “The Strongly-Interacting Light Higgs”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2007) 045, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/06/045, arXiv:hep-ph/0703164.
- [17] G. Belanger and F. Boudjema, “Probing quartic couplings of weak bosons through three vectors production at a 500 GeV NLC”, *Phys. Lett. B* **288** (1992) 201, doi:10.1016/0370-2693(92)91978-I.
- [18] G. Belanger et al., “Bosonic quartic couplings at LEP2”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **13** (2000) 283, doi:10.1007/s100520000305, arXiv:hep-ph/9908254.
- [19] O. J. P. Éboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, and S. M. Lietti, “Bosonic quartic couplings at CERN LHC”, *Phys. Rev. D* **69** (2004) 095005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.095005, arXiv:hep-ph/0310141.
- [20] O. J. P. Éboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, and J. K. Mizukoshi, “ $pp \rightarrow jje^\pm \mu^\pm \nu \nu$  and  $jje^\pm \mu^\pm \nu \nu$  at  $O(\alpha_{\text{em}}^6)$  and  $O(\alpha_{\text{em}}^4 \alpha_s^2)$  for the study of the quartic electroweak gauge boson vertex at CERN LHC”, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 073005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.74.073005, arXiv:hep-ph/0606118.
- [21] D. Yang et al., “Probing  $W^+W^-\gamma$  production and anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings at the CERN LHC”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2013) 108, doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2013)108, arXiv:1211.1641.
- [22] K. Ye, D. Yang, and Q. Li, “The CERN LHC Sensitivity on Measuring  $WZ\gamma$  Production and Anomalous  $WWZ\gamma$  Coupling”, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013), no. 1, 015023, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.015023, arXiv:1305.5979.
- [23] CMS Collaboration, “Study of exclusive two-photon production of  $W^+W^-$  in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV and constraints on anomalous quartic gauge couplings”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2013) 116, doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2013)116, arXiv:1305.5596.
- [24] CMS Collaboration, “CMS Luminosity Based on Pixel Cluster Counting - Summer 2013 Update”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-LUM-13-001, 2013.
- [25] CMS Collaboration, “Determination of Jet Energy Calibration and Transverse Momentum Resolution in CMS”, *J. Inst.* **6** (2011) P11002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/11/P11002, arXiv:1107.4277.
- [26] O. J. P. Éboli, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, S. M. Lietti, and S. F. Novaes, “Anomalous quartic gauge boson couplings at hadron colliders”, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 075008, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.63.075008, arXiv:hep-ph/0009262.
- [27] CMS Collaboration, “Energy calibration and resolution of the CMS electromagnetic calorimeter in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *J. Inst.* **8** (2013) P09009, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/8/09/P09009, arXiv:1306.2016.
- [28] CMS Collaboration, “Jet Energy Resolution in CMS at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-JME-10-014, 2010.
- [29] CMS Collaboration, “Particle-Flow Event Reconstruction in CMS and Performance for Jets, Taus, and  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$ ”, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-PFT-09-001, 2009.
- [30] CMS Collaboration, “The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC”, *J. Inst.* **3** (2008) S08004, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08004.



- [31] J. Alwall et al., “MadGraph 5: going beyond”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2011) 128, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2011)128, arXiv:1106.0522.
- [32] P. Nason, “A New method for combining NLO QCD with shower Monte Carlo algorithms”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2004) 040, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2004/11/040, arXiv:hep-ph/0409146.
- [33] S. Frixione, P. Nason, and C. Oleari, “Matching NLO QCD computations with parton shower simulations: the POWHEG method”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2007) 070, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/070, arXiv:0709.2092.
- [34] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “A general framework for implementing NLO calculations in shower Monte Carlo programs: the POWHEG BOX”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2010) 043, doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2010)043, arXiv:1002.2581.
- [35] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari, and E. Re, “NLO single-top production matched with shower in POWHEG: s- and t-channel contributions”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2009) 111, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/09/111, arXiv:0907.4076. [Erratum: doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2010)011].
- [36] E. Re, “Single-top Wt-channel production matched with parton showers using the POWHEG method”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1547, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1547-z, arXiv:1009.2450.
- [37] J. Pumplin et al., “New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2002) 012, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/07/012, arXiv:hep-ph/0201195.
- [38] P. M. Nadolsky et al., “Implications of CTEQ global analysis for collider observables”, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 013004, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.013004, arXiv:0802.0007.
- [39] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, “PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2006) 026, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/05/026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [40] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the underlying event in the Drell-Yan process in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2080, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-012-2080-4, arXiv:1204.1411.
- [41] S. Frixione and B. R. Webber, “Matching NLO QCD computations and parton shower simulations”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2002) 029, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/06/029, arXiv:hep-ph/0204244.
- [42] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne, and G. Watt, “Parton distributions for the LHC”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63** (2009) 189, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-009-1072-5, arXiv:0901.0002.
- [43] R. Frederix et al., “Four-lepton production at hadron colliders: aMC@NLO predictions with theoretical uncertainties”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** (2012) 099, doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2012)099, arXiv:1110.4738.
- [44] GEANT4 Collaboration, “GEANT4—a simulation toolkit”, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250, doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8.

- [45] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of the inclusive W and Z production cross sections in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the CMS experiment”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2011) 132, doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2011)132, arXiv:1107.4789.
- [46] CMS Collaboration, “Missing transverse energy performance of the CMS detector”, *J. Inst.* **6** (2011) P09001, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/6/09/P09001, arXiv:1106.5048.
- [47] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The anti- $k_T$  jet clustering algorithm”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2008) 063, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/063, arXiv:0802.1189.
- [48] M. Cacciari and G. P. Salam, “Pileup subtraction using jet areas”, *Phys. Lett. B* **659** (2008) 119, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2007.09.077, arXiv:0707.1378.
- [49] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, “The catchment area of jets”, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2008) 005, doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/005, arXiv:0802.1188.
- [50] CMS Collaboration, “Identification and Filtering of Uncharacteristic Noise in the CMS Hadron Calorimeter”, *J. Inst.* **5** (2010) T03014, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/5/03/T03014, arXiv:0911.4881.
- [51] CMS Collaboration, “Identification of b-quark jets with the CMS experiment”, *J. Inst.* **8** (2013) P04013, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/8/04/P04013, arXiv:1211.4462.
- [52] CMS Collaboration, “Performance of CMS muon reconstruction in pp collision events at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *J. Inst.* **7** (2012) P10002, doi:10.1088/1748-0221/7/10/P10002, arXiv:1206.4071.
- [53] S. Baffioni et al., “Electron reconstruction in CMS”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **49** (2007) 1099, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-006-0175-5.
- [54] CMS Collaboration, “Electron commissioning results at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  TeV”, CMS Detector Performance Study CMS-DP-2011-003, 2011.
- [55] CMS Collaboration, “Electron performance with 19.6 fb $^{-1}$  of data collected at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the CMS detector.”, CMS Detector Performance Study CMS-DP-2013-003, 2013.
- [56] CMS Collaboration, “Measurement of  $W\gamma$  and  $Z\gamma$  production in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV”, *Phys. Lett. B* **701** (2011) 535, doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2011.06.034, arXiv:1105.2758.
- [57] ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, LHC Higgs Combination Group, “Procedure for the LHC Higgs boson search combination in Summer 2011”, Technical Report ATL-PHYS-PUB 2011-11, CMS NOTE 2011/005, 2011.
- [58] G. Cowan, K. Cranmer, E. Gross, and O. Vitells, “Asymptotic formulae for likelihood-based tests of new physics”, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1, doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-011-1554-0, arXiv:1007.1727.
- [59] D0 Collaboration, “Search for anomalous quartic  $WW\gamma\gamma$  couplings in dielectron and missing energy final states in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV”, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 012005, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.012005, arXiv:1305.1258.



## A The CMS Collaboration

### Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

S. Chatrchyan, V. Khachatryan, A.M. Sirunyan, A. Tumasyan

### Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria

W. Adam, T. Bergauer, M. Dragicevic, J. Erö, C. Fabjan<sup>1</sup>, M. Friedl, R. Frühwirth<sup>1</sup>, V.M. Ghete, C. Hartl, N. Hörmann, J. Hrubec, M. Jeitler<sup>1</sup>, W. Kiesenhofer, V. Knünz, M. Krammer<sup>1</sup>, I. Krätschmer, D. Liko, I. Mikulec, D. Rabady<sup>2</sup>, B. Rahbaran, H. Rohringer, R. Schöfbeck, J. Strauss, A. Taurok, W. Treberer-Treberspur, W. Waltenberger, C.-E. Wulz<sup>1</sup>

### National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus

V. Mossolov, N. Shumeiko, J. Suarez Gonzalez

### Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium

S. Alderweireldt, M. Bansal, S. Bansal, T. Cornelis, E.A. De Wolf, X. Janssen, A. Knutsson, S. Luyckx, S. Ochesanu, B. Roland, R. Rougny, H. Van Haeve, P. Van Mechelen, N. Van Remortel, A. Van Spilbeeck

### Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium

F. Blekman, S. Blyweert, J. D'Hondt, N. Heracleous, A. Kalogeropoulos, J. Keaveney, T.J. Kim, S. Lowette, M. Maes, A. Olbrechts, Q. Python, D. Strom, S. Tavernier, W. Van Doninck, P. Van Mulders, G.P. Van Onsem, I. Vilella

### Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium

C. Caillol, B. Clerbaux, G. De Lentdecker, L. Favart, A.P.R. Gay, A. Léonard, P.E. Marage, A. Mohammadi, L. Perniè, T. Reis, T. Seva, L. Thomas, C. Vander Velde, P. Vanlaer, J. Wang

### Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

V. Adler, K. Beernaert, L. Benucci, A. Cimmino, S. Costantini, S. Crucy, S. Dildick, G. Garcia, B. Klein, J. Lellouch, J. McCartin, A.A. Ocampo Rios, D. Ryckbosch, S. Salva Dible, M. Sigamani, N. Strobbe, F. Thyssen, M. Tytgat, S. Walsh, E. Yazgan, N. Zaganidis

### Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

S. Basegmez, C. Beluffi<sup>3</sup>, G. Bruno, R. Castello, A. Caudron, L. Ceard, G.G. Da Silva, C. Delaere, T. du Pree, D. Favart, L. Forthomme, A. Giammanco<sup>4</sup>, J. Hollar, P. Jez, M. Komm, V. Lemaître, J. Liao, O. Militaru, C. Nuttens, D. Pagano, A. Pin, K. Piotrkowski, A. Popov<sup>5</sup>, L. Quertenmont, M. Selvaggi, M. Vidal Marono, J.M. Vizan Garcia

### Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

N. Beliy, T. Caebergs, E. Daubie, G.H. Hammad

### Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

G.A. Alves, L. Brito, M. Correa Martins Junior, M.E. Pol, P. Rebello Teles

### Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

W.L. Aldá Júnior, W. Carvalho, J. Chinellato<sup>6</sup>, A. Custódio, E.M. Da Costa, D. De Jesus Damiao, C. De Oliveira Martins, S. Fonseca De Souza, H. Malbouisson, M. Malek, D. Matos Figueiredo, L. Mundim, H. Nogima, W.L. Prado Da Silva, J. Santaolalla, A. Santoro, A. Sznajder, E.J. Tonelli Manganote<sup>6</sup>, A. Vilela Pereira

### Universidade Estadual Paulista <sup>a</sup>, Universidade Federal do ABC <sup>b</sup>, São Paulo, Brazil

C.A. Bernardes<sup>b</sup>, F.A. Dias<sup>a,7</sup>, T.R. Fernandez Perez Tomei<sup>a</sup>, E.M. Gregores<sup>b</sup>, P.G. Mercadante<sup>b</sup>, S.F. Novaes<sup>a</sup>, Sandra S. Padula<sup>a</sup>

**Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria**

V. Genchev<sup>2</sup>, P. Iaydjiev<sup>2</sup>, A. Marinov, S. Piperov, M. Rodozov, G. Sultanov, M. Vutova

**University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria**

A. Dimitrov, I. Glushkov, R. Hadjiiska, V. Kozhuharov, L. Litov, B. Pavlov, P. Petkov

**Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China**

J.G. Bian, G.M. Chen, H.S. Chen, M. Chen, R. Du, C.H. Jiang, D. Liang, S. Liang, X. Meng, R. Plestina<sup>8</sup>, J. Tao, X. Wang, Z. Wang

**State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China**

C. Asawatangtrakuldee, Y. Ban, Y. Guo, Q. Li, W. Li, S. Liu, Y. Mao, S.J. Qian, D. Wang, D. Yang, L. Zhang, W. Zou

**Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia**

C. Avila, L.F. Chaparro Sierra, C. Florez, J.P. Gomez, B. Gomez Moreno, J.C. Sanabria

**Technical University of Split, Split, Croatia**

N. Godinovic, D. Lelas, D. Polic, I. Puljak

**University of Split, Split, Croatia**

Z. Antunovic, M. Kovac

**Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia**

V. Brigljevic, K. Kadija, J. Luetic, D. Mekterovic, S. Morovic, L. Tikvica

**University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus**

A. Attikis, G. Mavromanolakis, J. Mousa, C. Nicolaou, F. Ptochos, P.A. Razis

**Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic**

M. Bodlak, M. Finger, M. Finger Jr.

**Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt**

Y. Assran<sup>9</sup>, S. Elgammal<sup>10</sup>, A. Ellithi Kamel<sup>11</sup>, M.A. Mahmoud<sup>12</sup>, A. Mahrous<sup>13</sup>, A. Radi<sup>14,15</sup>

**National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia**

M. Kadastik, M. Müntel, M. Murumaa, M. Raidal, A. Tiko

**Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland**

P. Eerola, G. Fedi, M. Voutilainen

**Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland**

J. Härkönen, V. Karimäki, R. Kinnunen, M.J. Kortelainen, T. Lampén, K. Lassila-Perini, S. Lehti, T. Lindén, P. Luukka, T. Mäenpää, T. Peltola, E. Tuominen, J. Tuominiemi, E. Tuovinen, L. Wendland

**Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland**

T. Tuuva

**DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France**

M. Besancon, F. Couderc, M. DeJardin, D. Denegri, B. Fabbro, J.L. Faure, C. Favaro, F. Ferri, S. Ganjour, A. Givernaud, P. Gras, G. Hamel de Monchenault, P. Jarry, E. Locci, J. Malcles, A. Nayak, J. Rander, A. Rosowsky, M. Titov

**Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France**

S. Baffioni, F. Beaudette, P. Busson, C. Charlot, N. Daci, T. Dahms, M. Dalchenko, L. Dobrzynski,

N. Filipovic, A. Florent, R. Granier de Cassagnac, L. Mastrolorenzo, P. Miné, C. Mironov, I.N. Naranjo, M. Nguyen, C. Ochando, P. Paganini, D. Sabes, R. Salerno, J.b. Sauvan, Y. Sirois, C. Veelken, Y. Yilmaz, A. Zabi

**Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France**

J.-L. Agram<sup>16</sup>, J. Andrea, D. Bloch, J.-M. Brom, E.C. Chabert, C. Collard, E. Conte<sup>16</sup>, F. Drouhin<sup>16</sup>, J.-C. Fontaine<sup>16</sup>, D. Gelé, U. Goerlach, C. Goetzmann, P. Juillot, A.-C. Le Bihan, P. Van Hove

**Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France**

S. Gadrat

**Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France**

S. Beauceron, N. Beaupere, G. Boudoul, S. Brochet, C.A. Carrillo Montoya, J. Chasserat, R. Chierici, D. Contardo<sup>2</sup>, P. Depasse, H. El Mamouni, J. Fan, J. Fay, S. Gascon, M. Gouzevitch, B. Ille, T. Kurca, M. Lethuillier, L. Mirabito, S. Perries, J.D. Ruiz Alvarez, L. Sgandurra, V. Sordini, M. Vander Donckt, P. Verdier, S. Viret, H. Xiao

**E. Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Academy of Science, Tbilisi, Georgia**

L. Rurua

**RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany**

C. Autermann, S. Beranek, M. Bontenackels, B. Calpas, M. Edelhoff, L. Feld, O. Hindrichs, K. Klein, A. Ostapchuk, A. Perieanu, F. Raupach, J. Sammet, S. Schael, D. Sprenger, H. Weber, B. Wittmer, V. Zhukov<sup>5</sup>

**RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany**

M. Ata, J. Caudron, E. Dietz-Laursonn, D. Duchardt, M. Erdmann, R. Fischer, A. Güth, T. Hebbeker, C. Heidemann, K. Hoepfner, D. Klingebiel, S. Knutzen, P. Kreuzer, M. Merschmeyer, A. Meyer, M. Olschewski, K. Padeken, P. Papacz, H. Reithler, S.A. Schmitz, L. Sonnenschein, D. Teyssier, S. Thüer, M. Weber

**RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany**

V. Cherepanov, Y. Erdogan, G. Flügge, H. Geenen, M. Geisler, W. Haj Ahmad, F. Hoehle, B. Kargoll, T. Kress, Y. Kuessel, J. Lingemann<sup>2</sup>, A. Nowack, I.M. Nugent, L. Perchalla, O. Pooth, A. Stahl

**Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany**

I. Asin, N. Bartosik, J. Behr, W. Behrenhoff, U. Behrens, A.J. Bell, M. Bergholz<sup>17</sup>, A. Bethani, K. Borras, A. Burgmeier, A. Cakir, L. Calligaris, A. Campbell, S. Choudhury, F. Costanza, C. Diez Pardos, S. Dooling, T. Dorland, G. Eckerlin, D. Eckstein, T. Eichhorn, G. Flucke, J. Garay Garcia, A. Geiser, A. Grebenyuk, P. Gunnellini, S. Habib, J. Hauk, G. Hellwig, M. Hempel, D. Horton, H. Jung, M. Kasemann, P. Katsas, J. Kieseler, C. Kleinwort, M. Krämer, D. Krücker, W. Lange, J. Leonard, K. Lipka, W. Lohmann<sup>17</sup>, B. Lutz, R. Mankel, I. Marfin, I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann, A.B. Meyer, J. Mnich, A. Mussgiller, S. Naumann-Emme, O. Novgorodova, F. Nowak, E. Ntomari, H. Perrey, A. Petrukhin, D. Pitzl, R. Placakyte, A. Raspereza, P.M. Ribeiro Cipriano, C. Riedl, E. Ron, M.Ö. Sahin, J. Salfeld-Nebgen, P. Saxena, R. Schmidt<sup>17</sup>, T. Schoerner-Sadenius, M. Schröder, M. Stein, A.D.R. Vargas Trevino, R. Walsh, C. Wissing

**University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany**

M. Aldaya Martin, V. Blobel, M. Centis Vignali, H. Enderle, J. Erfle, E. Garutti, K. Goebel,

M. Görner, M. Gosselink, J. Haller, R.S. Höing, H. Kirschenmann, R. Klanner, R. Kogler, J. Lange, T. Lapsien, T. Lenz, I. Marchesini, J. Ott, T. Peiffer, N. Pietsch, D. Rathjens, C. Sander, H. Schettler, P. Schleper, E. Schlieckau, A. Schmidt, M. Seidel, J. Sibille<sup>18</sup>, V. Sola, H. Stadie, G. Steinbrück, D. Troendle, E. Usai, L. Vanelderen

**Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany**

C. Barth, C. Baus, J. Berger, C. Böser, E. Butz, T. Chwalek, W. De Boer, A. Descroix, A. Dierlamm, M. Feindt, M. Guthoff<sup>2</sup>, F. Hartmann<sup>2</sup>, T. Hauth<sup>2</sup>, H. Held, K.H. Hoffmann, U. Husemann, I. Katkov<sup>5</sup>, A. Kornmayer<sup>2</sup>, E. Kuznetsova, P. Lobelle Pardo, D. Martschei, M.U. Mozer, Th. Müller, M. Niegel, A. Nürnberg, O. Oberst, G. Quast, K. Rabbertz, F. Ratnikov, S. Röcker, F.-P. Schilling, G. Schott, H.J. Simonis, F.M. Stober, R. Ulrich, J. Wagner-Kuhr, S. Wayand, T. Weiler, R. Wolf, M. Zeise

**Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece**

G. Anagnostou, G. Daskalakis, T. Geralis, V.A. Giakoumopoulou, S. Kesisoglou, A. Kyriakis, D. Loukas, A. Markou, C. Markou, A. Psallidas, I. Topsis-Giotis

**University of Athens, Athens, Greece**

L. Gouskos, A. Panagiotou, N. Saoulidou, E. Stiliaris

**University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece**

X. Aslanoglou, I. Evangelou<sup>2</sup>, G. Flouris, C. Foudas<sup>2</sup>, J. Jones, P. Kokkas, N. Manthos, I. Papadopoulos, E. Paradas

**Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary**

G. Bencze<sup>2</sup>, C. Hajdu, P. Hidas, D. Horvath<sup>19</sup>, F. Sikler, V. Veszpremi, G. Vesztergombi<sup>20</sup>, A.J. Zsigmond

**Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary**

N. Beni, S. Czellar, J. Karancsi<sup>21</sup>, J. Molnar, J. Palinkas, Z. Szillasi

**University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary**

P. Raics, Z.L. Trocsanyi, B. Ujvari

**National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India**

S.K. Swain

**Panjab University, Chandigarh, India**

S.B. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, N. Dhingra, R. Gupta, A.K. Kalsi, M. Kaur, M. Mittal, N. Nishu, A. Sharma, J.B. Singh

**University of Delhi, Delhi, India**

Ashok Kumar, Arun Kumar, S. Ahuja, A. Bhardwaj, B.C. Choudhary, A. Kumar, S. Malhotra, M. Naimuddin, K. Ranjan, V. Sharma, R.K. Shivpuri

**Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India**

S. Banerjee, S. Bhattacharya, K. Chatterjee, S. Dutta, B. Gomber, Sa. Jain, Sh. Jain, R. Khurana, A. Modak, S. Mukherjee, D. Roy, S. Sarkar, M. Sharan, A.P. Singh

**Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India**

A. Abdulsalam, D. Dutta, S. Kailas, V. Kumar, A.K. Mohanty<sup>2</sup>, L.M. Pant, P. Shukla, A. Topkar

**Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - EHEP, Mumbai, India**

T. Aziz, R.M. Chatterjee, S. Ganguly, S. Ghosh, M. Guchait<sup>22</sup>, A. Gurtu<sup>23</sup>, G. Kole,

S. Kumar, M. Maity<sup>24</sup>, G. Majumder, K. Mazumdar, G.B. Mohanty, B. Parida, K. Sudhakar, N. Wickramage<sup>25</sup>

**Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India**

S. Banerjee, R.K. Dewanjee, S. Dugad

**Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran**

H. Arfaei, H. Bakhshiansohi, H. Behnamian, S.M. Etesami<sup>26</sup>, A. Fahim<sup>27</sup>, A. Jafari, M. Khakzad, M. Mohammadi Najafabadi, M. Naseri, S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi, B. Safarzadeh<sup>28</sup>, M. Zeinali

**University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland**

M. Grunewald

**INFN Sezione di Bari <sup>a</sup>, Università di Bari <sup>b</sup>, Politecnico di Bari <sup>c</sup>, Bari, Italy**

M. Abbrescia<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Barbone<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Calabria<sup>a,b</sup>, S.S. Chhibra<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Colaleo<sup>a</sup>, D. Creanza<sup>a,c</sup>, N. De Filippis<sup>a,c</sup>, M. De Palma<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Fiore<sup>a</sup>, G. Iaselli<sup>a,c</sup>, G. Maggi<sup>a,c</sup>, M. Maggi<sup>a</sup>, S. My<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Nuzzo<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Pacifico<sup>a</sup>, A. Pompili<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Pugliese<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Radogna<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Selvaggi<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Silvestris<sup>a</sup>, G. Singh<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Venditti<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Verwilligen<sup>a</sup>, G. Zito<sup>a</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Bologna <sup>a</sup>, Università di Bologna <sup>b</sup>, Bologna, Italy**

G. Abbiendi<sup>a</sup>, A.C. Benvenuti<sup>a</sup>, D. Bonacorsi<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Braibant-Giacomelli<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Brigliadori<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Campanini<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Capiluppi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Castro<sup>a,b</sup>, F.R. Cavallo<sup>a</sup>, G. Codispoti<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Cuffiani<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Dallavalle<sup>a</sup>, F. Fabbri<sup>a</sup>, A. Fanfani<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Fasanella<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Giacomelli<sup>a</sup>, C. Grandi<sup>a</sup>, L. Guiducci<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Marcellini<sup>a</sup>, G. Masetti<sup>a</sup>, M. Meneghelli<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Montanari<sup>a</sup>, F.L. Navarria<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Odorici<sup>a</sup>, A. Perrotta<sup>a</sup>, F. Primavera<sup>a,b</sup>, A.M. Rossi<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Rovelli<sup>a,b</sup>, G.P. Siroli<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Tosi<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Travaglini<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Catania <sup>a</sup>, Università di Catania <sup>b</sup>, CSFNSM <sup>c</sup>, Catania, Italy**

S. Albergo<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Cappello<sup>a</sup>, M. Chiorboli<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Costa<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Giordano<sup>a,2</sup>, R. Potenza<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Tricomi<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Tuve<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Firenze <sup>a</sup>, Università di Firenze <sup>b</sup>, Firenze, Italy**

G. Barbagli<sup>a</sup>, V. Ciulli<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Civinini<sup>a</sup>, R. D'Alessandro<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Focardi<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Gallo<sup>a</sup>, S. Gonzi<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Gori<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Lenzi<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Meschini<sup>a</sup>, S. Paoletti<sup>a</sup>, G. Sguazzoni<sup>a</sup>, A. Tropiano<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy**

L. Benussi, S. Bianco, F. Fabbri, D. Piccolo

**INFN Sezione di Genova <sup>a</sup>, Università di Genova <sup>b</sup>, Genova, Italy**

P. Fabbriatore<sup>a</sup>, F. Ferro<sup>a</sup>, M. Lo Vetere<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Musenich<sup>a</sup>, E. Robutti<sup>a</sup>, S. Tosi<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca <sup>a</sup>, Università di Milano-Bicocca <sup>b</sup>, Milano, Italy**

M.E. Dinardo<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Fiorendi<sup>a,b,2</sup>, S. Gennai<sup>a</sup>, R. Gerosa, A. Ghezzi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Govoni<sup>a,b</sup>, M.T. Lucchini<sup>a,b,2</sup>, S. Malvezzi<sup>a</sup>, R.A. Manzoni<sup>a,b,2</sup>, A. Martelli<sup>a,b,2</sup>, B. Marzocchi, D. Menasce<sup>a</sup>, L. Moroni<sup>a</sup>, M. Paganoni<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Pedrini<sup>a</sup>, S. Ragazzi<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Redaelli<sup>a</sup>, T. Tabarelli de Fatis<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Napoli <sup>a</sup>, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' <sup>b</sup>, Università della Basilicata (Potenza) <sup>c</sup>, Università G. Marconi (Roma) <sup>d</sup>, Napoli, Italy**

S. Buontempo<sup>a</sup>, N. Cavallo<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Di Guida<sup>a,d</sup>, F. Fabozzi<sup>a,c</sup>, A.O.M. Iorio<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Lista<sup>a</sup>, S. Meola<sup>a,d,2</sup>, M. Merola<sup>a</sup>, P. Paolucci<sup>a,2</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Padova <sup>a</sup>, Università di Padova <sup>b</sup>, Università di Trento (Trento) <sup>c</sup>, Padova, Italy**

P. Azzi<sup>a</sup>, N. Bacchetta<sup>a</sup>, D. Bisello<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Branca<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Carlin<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Checchia<sup>a</sup>, T. Dorigo<sup>a</sup>, M. Galanti<sup>a,b,2</sup>, F. Gasparini<sup>a,b</sup>, U. Gasparini<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Gozzelino<sup>a</sup>, K. Kanishchev<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Lacaprara<sup>a</sup>,



I. Lazzizzera<sup>a,c</sup>, M. Margoni<sup>a,b</sup>, A.T. Meneguzzo<sup>a,b</sup>, J. Pazzini<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Pozzobon<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Ronchese<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Sgaravatto<sup>a</sup>, F. Simonetto<sup>a,b</sup>, E. Torassa<sup>a</sup>, M. Tosi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Triossi<sup>a</sup>, S. Ventura<sup>a</sup>, P. Zotto<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Zucchetta<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Pavia<sup>a</sup>, Università di Pavia<sup>b</sup>, Pavia, Italy**

M. Gabusi<sup>a,b</sup>, S.P. Ratti<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Riccardi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Salvini<sup>a</sup>, P. Vitulo<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Perugia<sup>a</sup>, Università di Perugia<sup>b</sup>, Perugia, Italy**

M. Biasini<sup>a,b</sup>, G.M. Bilei<sup>a</sup>, L. Fanò<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Lariccia<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Mantovani<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Menichelli<sup>a</sup>, F. Romeo<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Saha<sup>a</sup>, A. Santocchia<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Spiezia<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Pisa<sup>a</sup>, Università di Pisa<sup>b</sup>, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa<sup>c</sup>, Pisa, Italy**

K. Androsov<sup>a,29</sup>, P. Azzurri<sup>a</sup>, G. Bagliesi<sup>a</sup>, J. Bernardini<sup>a</sup>, T. Boccali<sup>a</sup>, G. Broccolo<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Castaldi<sup>a</sup>, M.A. Ciocci<sup>a,29</sup>, R. Dell'Orso<sup>a</sup>, S. Donato<sup>a,c</sup>, F. Fiori<sup>a,c</sup>, L. Foà<sup>a,c</sup>, A. Giassi<sup>a</sup>, M.T. Grippo<sup>a,29</sup>, A. Kraan<sup>a</sup>, F. Ligabue<sup>a,c</sup>, T. Lomtadze<sup>a</sup>, L. Martini<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Messineo<sup>a,b</sup>, C.S. Moon<sup>a,30</sup>, F. Palla<sup>a,2</sup>, A. Rizzi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Savoy-Navarro<sup>a,31</sup>, A.T. Serban<sup>a</sup>, P. Spagnolo<sup>a</sup>, P. Squillacioti<sup>a,29</sup>, R. Tenchini<sup>a</sup>, G. Tonelli<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Venturi<sup>a</sup>, P.G. Verdini<sup>a</sup>, C. Vernieri<sup>a,c</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Roma<sup>a</sup>, Università di Roma<sup>b</sup>, Roma, Italy**

L. Barone<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Cavallari<sup>a</sup>, D. Del Re<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Diemoz<sup>a</sup>, M. Grassi<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Jorda<sup>a</sup>, E. Longo<sup>a,b</sup>, F. Margaroli<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Meridiani<sup>a</sup>, F. Micheli<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Nourbakhsh<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Organtini<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Paramatti<sup>a</sup>, S. Rahatlou<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Rovelli<sup>a</sup>, L. Soffi<sup>a,b</sup>, P. Traczyk<sup>a,b</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Torino<sup>a</sup>, Università di Torino<sup>b</sup>, Università del Piemonte Orientale (Novara)<sup>c</sup>, Torino, Italy**

N. Amapane<sup>a,b</sup>, R. Arcidiacono<sup>a,c</sup>, S. Argiro<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Arneodo<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Bellan<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Biino<sup>a</sup>, N. Cartiglia<sup>a</sup>, S. Casasso<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Costa<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Degano<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Demaria<sup>a</sup>, L. Finco<sup>a,b</sup>, C. Mariotti<sup>a</sup>, S. Maselli<sup>a</sup>, E. Migliore<sup>a,b</sup>, V. Monaco<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Musich<sup>a</sup>, M.M. Obertino<sup>a,c</sup>, G. Ortona<sup>a,b</sup>, L. Pacher<sup>a,b</sup>, N. Pastrone<sup>a</sup>, M. Pelliccioni<sup>a,2</sup>, G.L. Pinna Angioni<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Potenza<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Romero<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Ruspa<sup>a,c</sup>, R. Sacchi<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Solano<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Staiano<sup>a</sup>, U. Tamponi<sup>a</sup>

**INFN Sezione di Trieste<sup>a</sup>, Università di Trieste<sup>b</sup>, Trieste, Italy**

S. Belforte<sup>a</sup>, V. Candelise<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Casarsa<sup>a</sup>, F. Cossutti<sup>a</sup>, G. Della Ricca<sup>a,b</sup>, B. Gobbo<sup>a</sup>, C. La Licata<sup>a,b</sup>, M. Marone<sup>a,b</sup>, D. Montanino<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Schizzi<sup>a,b</sup>, T. Umer<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Zanetti<sup>a</sup>

**Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea**

S. Chang, T.Y. Kim, S.K. Nam

**Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea**

D.H. Kim, G.N. Kim, J.E. Kim, M.S. Kim, D.J. Kong, S. Lee, Y.D. Oh, H. Park, A. Sakharov, D.C. Son

**Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea**

J.Y. Kim, Zero J. Kim, S. Song

**Korea University, Seoul, Korea**

S. Choi, D. Gyun, B. Hong, M. Jo, H. Kim, Y. Kim, B. Lee, K.S. Lee, S.K. Park, Y. Roh

**University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea**

M. Choi, J.H. Kim, C. Park, I.C. Park, S. Park, G. Ryu

**Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea**

Y. Choi, Y.K. Choi, J. Goh, E. Kwon, J. Lee, H. Seo, I. Yu

**Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania**

A. Juodagalvis

**National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia**

J.R. Komaragiri

**Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico**H. Castilla-Valdez, E. De La Cruz-Burelo, I. Heredia-de La Cruz<sup>32</sup>, R. Lopez-Fernandez, J. Martínez-Ortega, A. Sanchez-Hernandez, L.M. Villasenor-Cendejas**Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico**

S. Carrillo Moreno, F. Vazquez Valencia

**Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico**

H.A. Salazar Ibarguen

**Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico**

E. Casimiro Linares, A. Morelos Pineda

**University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand**

D. Krofcheck

**University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand**

P.H. Butler, R. Doesburg, S. Reucroft

**National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan**

A. Ahmad, M. Ahmad, M.I. Asghar, J. Butt, Q. Hassan, H.R. Hoorani, W.A. Khan, T. Khurshid, S. Qazi, M.A. Shah, M. Shoaib

**National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland**H. Bialkowska, M. Bluj<sup>33</sup>, B. Boimska, T. Frueboes, M. Górski, M. Kazana, K. Nawrocki, K. Romanowska-Rybinska, M. Szleper, G. Wrochna, P. Zalewski**Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland**

G. Brona, K. Bunkowski, M. Cwiok, W. Dominik, K. Doroba, A. Kalinowski, M. Konecki, J. Krolikowski, M. Misiura, W. Wolszczak

**Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal**

P. Bargassa, C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, P. Faccioli, P.G. Ferreira Parracho, M. Gallinaro, F. Nguyen, J. Rodrigues Antunes, J. Seixas, J. Varela, P. Vischia

**Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia**I. Golutvin, I. Gorbunov, V. Karjavin, V. Konoplyanikov, V. Korenkov, G. Kozlov, A. Lanev, A. Malakhov, V. Matveev<sup>34</sup>, P. Moisenz, V. Palichik, V. Perelygin, M. Savina, S. Shmatov, S. Shulha, N. Skatchkov, V. Smirnov, A. Zarubin**Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia**V. Golovtsov, Y. Ivanov, V. Kim<sup>35</sup>, P. Levchenko, V. Murzin, V. Oreshkin, I. Smirnov, V. Sulimov, L. Uvarov, S. Vavilov, A. Vorobyev, An. Vorobyev**Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia**

Yu. Andreev, A. Dermenev, S. Gninenko, N. Golubev, M. Kirsanov, N. Krasnikov, A. Pashenkov, D. Tlisov, A. Toropin

**Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia**

V. Epshteyn, V. Gavrilov, N. Lychkovskaya, V. Popov, G. Safronov, S. Semenov, A. Spiridonov, V. Stolin, E. Vlasov, A. Zhokin

**P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia**

V. Andreev, M. Azarkin, I. Dremin, M. Kirakosyan, A. Leonidov, G. Mesyats, S.V. Rusakov, A. Vinogradov

**Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia**

A. Belyaev, E. Boos, M. Dubinin<sup>7</sup>, L. Dudko, A. Ershov, A. Gribushin, V. Klyukhin, O. Kodolova, I. Lokhtin, S. Obraztsov, S. Petrushanko, V. Savrin, A. Snigirev

**State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia**

I. Azhgirey, I. Bayshev, S. Bitioukov, V. Kachanov, A. Kalinin, D. Konstantinov, V. Krychkin, V. Petrov, R. Ryutin, A. Sobol, L. Tourtchanovitch, S. Troshin, N. Tyurin, A. Uzunian, A. Volkov

**University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia**

P. Adzic<sup>36</sup>, M. Djordjevic, M. Ekmedzic, J. Milosevic

**Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain**

M. Aguilar-Benitez, J. Alcaraz Maestre, C. Battilana, E. Calvo, M. Cerrada, M. Chamizo Llatas<sup>2</sup>, N. Colino, B. De La Cruz, A. Delgado Peris, D. Domínguez Vázquez, A. Escalante Del Valle, C. Fernandez Bedoya, J.P. Fernández Ramos, A. Ferrando, J. Flix, M.C. Fouz, P. Garcia-Abia, O. Gonzalez Lopez, S. Goy Lopez, J.M. Hernandez, M.I. Josa, G. Merino, E. Navarro De Martino, A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo, J. Puerta Pelayo, A. Quintario Olmeda, I. Redondo, L. Romero, M.S. Soares, C. Willmott

**Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain**

C. Albajar, J.F. de Trocóniz, M. Missiroli

**Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain**

H. Brun, J. Cuevas, J. Fernandez Menendez, S. Folgueras, I. Gonzalez Caballero, L. Lloret Iglesias

**Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain**

J.A. Brochero Cifuentes, I.J. Cabrillo, A. Calderon, J. Duarte Campderros, M. Fernandez, G. Gomez, J. Gonzalez Sanchez, A. Graziano, A. Lopez Virto, J. Marco, R. Marco, C. Martinez Rivero, F. Matorras, F.J. Munoz Sanchez, J. Piedra Gomez, T. Rodrigo, A.Y. Rodríguez-Marrero, A. Ruiz-Jimeno, L. Scodellaro, I. Vila, R. Vilar Cortabitarte

**CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland**

D. Abbaneo, E. Auffray, G. Auzinger, M. Bachtis, P. Baillon, A.H. Ball, D. Barney, A. Benaglia, J. Bendavid, L. Benhabib, J.F. Benitez, C. Bernet<sup>8</sup>, G. Bianchi, P. Bloch, A. Bocci, A. Bonato, O. Bondu, C. Botta, H. Breuker, T. Camporesi, G. Cerminara, T. Christiansen, J.A. Coarasa Perez, S. Colafranceschi<sup>37</sup>, M. D'Alfonso, D. d'Enterria, A. Dabrowski, A. David, F. De Guio, A. De Roeck, S. De Visscher, M. Dobson, N. Dupont-Sagorin, A. Elliott-Peisert, J. Eugster, G. Franzoni, W. Funk, M. Giffels, D. Gigi, K. Gill, D. Giordano, M. Girone, M. Giunta, F. Glege, R. Gomez-Reino Garrido, S. Gowdy, R. Guida, J. Hammer, M. Hansen, P. Harris, J. Hegeman, V. Innocente, P. Janot, E. Karavakis, K. Kousouris, K. Krajczar, P. Lecoq, C. Lourenço, N. Magini, L. Malgeri, M. Mannelli, L. Masetti, F. Meijers, S. Mersi, E. Meschi, F. Moortgat, M. Mulders, P. Musella, L. Orsini, E. Palencia Cortezon, L. Pape, E. Perez, L. Perrozzi, A. Petrilli, G. Petrucciani, A. Pfeiffer, M. Pierini, M. Pimiä, D. Piparo, M. Plagge, A. Racz, W. Reece, G. Rolandi<sup>38</sup>, M. Rovere, H. Sakulin, F. Santanastasio, C. Schäfer, C. Schwick, S. Sekmen,

A. Sharma, P. Siegrist, P. Silva, M. Simon, P. Sphicas<sup>39</sup>, D. Spiga, J. Steggemann, B. Stieger, M. Stoye, D. Treille, A. Tsiros, G.I. Veres<sup>20</sup>, J.R. Vlimant, H.K. Wöhri, W.D. Zeuner

**Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland**

W. Bertl, K. Deiters, W. Erdmann, R. Horisberger, Q. Ingram, H.C. Kaestli, S. König, D. Kotlinski, U. Langenegger, D. Renker, T. Rohe

**Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland**

F. Bachmair, L. Bäni, L. Bianchini, P. Bortignon, M.A. Buchmann, B. Casal, N. Chanon, A. Deisher, G. Dissertori, M. Dittmar, M. Donegà, M. Dünser, P. Eller, C. Grab, D. Hits, W. Lustermann, B. Mangano, A.C. Marini, P. Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, D. Meister, N. Mohr, C. Nägeli<sup>40</sup>, P. Nef, F. Nessi-Tedaldi, F. Pandolfi, F. Pauss, M. Peruzzi, M. Quittnat, L. Rebane, F.J. Ronga, M. Rossini, A. Starodumov<sup>41</sup>, M. Takahashi, K. Theofilatos, R. Wallny, H.A. Weber

**Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland**

C. Amsler<sup>42</sup>, M.F. Canelli, V. Chiochia, A. De Cosa, A. Hinzmann, T. Hreus, M. Ivova Rikova, B. Kilminster, B. Millan Mejias, J. Ngadiuba, P. Robmann, H. Snoek, S. Taroni, M. Verzetti, Y. Yang

**National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan**

M. Cardaci, K.H. Chen, C. Ferro, C.M. Kuo, S.W. Li, W. Lin, Y.J. Lu, R. Volpe, S.S. Yu

**National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan**

P. Bartalini, P. Chang, Y.H. Chang, Y.W. Chang, Y. Chao, K.F. Chen, P.H. Chen, C. Dietz, U. Grundler, W.-S. Hou, Y. Hsiung, K.Y. Kao, Y.J. Lei, Y.F. Liu, R.-S. Lu, D. Majumder, E. Petrakou, X. Shi, J.G. Shiu, Y.M. Tzeng, M. Wang, R. Wilken

**Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand**

B. Asavapibhop, E. Simili

**Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey**

A. Adiguzel, M.N. Bakirci<sup>43</sup>, S. Cerci<sup>44</sup>, C. Dozen, I. Dumanoglu, E. Eskut, S. Girgis, G. Gokbulut, E. Gurpinar, I. Hos, E.E. Kangal, A. Kayis Topaksu, G. Onengut<sup>45</sup>, K. Ozdemir, S. Ozturk<sup>43</sup>, A. Polatoz, K. Sogut<sup>46</sup>, D. Sunar Cerci<sup>44</sup>, B. Tali<sup>44</sup>, H. Topakli<sup>43</sup>, M. Vergili

**Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey**

I.V. Akin, T. Aliev, B. Bilin, S. Bilmis, M. Deniz, H. Gamsizkan, A.M. Guler, G. Karapinar<sup>47</sup>, K. Ocalan, A. Ozpineci, M. Serin, R. Sever, U.E. Surat, M. Yalvac, M. Zeyrek

**Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey**

E. Gülmez, B. Isildak<sup>48</sup>, M. Kaya<sup>49</sup>, O. Kaya<sup>49</sup>, S. Ozkorucuklu<sup>50</sup>

**Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey**

H. Bahtiyar<sup>51</sup>, E. Barlas, K. Cankocak, Y.O. Günaydin<sup>52</sup>, F.I. Vardarli, M. Yücel

**National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine**

L. Levchuk, P. Sorokin

**University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom**

J.J. Brooke, E. Clement, D. Cussans, H. Flacher, R. Frazier, J. Goldstein, M. Grimes, G.P. Heath, H.F. Heath, J. Jacob, L. Kreczko, C. Lucas, Z. Meng, D.M. Newbold<sup>53</sup>, S. Paramesvaran, A. Poll, S. Senkin, V.J. Smith, T. Williams

**Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom**

K.W. Bell, A. Belyaev<sup>54</sup>, C. Brew, R.M. Brown, D.J.A. Cockerill, J.A. Coughlan, K. Harder,

S. Harper, J. Ilic, E. Olaiya, D. Petyt, C.H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, A. Thea, I.R. Tomalin, W.J. Womersley, S.D. Worm

**Imperial College, London, United Kingdom**

M. Baber, R. Bainbridge, O. Buchmuller, D. Burton, D. Colling, N. Cripps, M. Cutajar, P. Dauncey, G. Davies, M. Della Negra, P. Dunne, W. Ferguson, J. Fulcher, D. Futyan, A. Gilbert, A. Guneratne Bryer, G. Hall, Z. Hatherell, J. Hays, G. Iles, M. Jarvis, G. Karapostoli, M. Kenzie, R. Lane, R. Lucas<sup>53</sup>, L. Lyons, A.-M. Magnan, J. Marrouche, B. Mathias, R. Nandi, J. Nash, A. Nikitenko<sup>41</sup>, J. Pela, M. Pesaresi, K. Petridis, M. Pioppi<sup>55</sup>, D.M. Raymond, S. Rogerson, A. Rose, C. Seez, P. Sharp<sup>†</sup>, A. Sparrow, A. Tapper, M. Vazquez Acosta, T. Virdee, S. Wakefield, N. Wardle

**Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom**

J.E. Cole, P.R. Hobson, A. Khan, P. Kyberd, D. Leggat, D. Leslie, W. Martin, I.D. Reid, P. Symonds, L. Teodorescu, M. Turner

**Baylor University, Waco, USA**

J. Dittmann, K. Hatakeyama, A. Kasmi, H. Liu, T. Scarborough

**The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, USA**

O. Charaf, S.I. Cooper, C. Henderson, P. Rumerio

**Boston University, Boston, USA**

A. Avetisyan, T. Bose, C. Fantasia, A. Heister, P. Lawson, D. Lazic, C. Richardson, J. Rohlf, D. Sperka, J. St. John, L. Sulak

**Brown University, Providence, USA**

J. Alimena, S. Bhattacharya, G. Christopher, D. Cutts, Z. Demiragli, A. Ferapontov, A. Garabedian, U. Heintz, S. Jabeen, G. Kukartsev, E. Laird, G. Landsberg, M. Luk, M. Narain, M. Segala, T. Sinthuprasith, T. Speer, J. Swanson

**University of California, Davis, Davis, USA**

R. Breedon, G. Breto, M. Calderon De La Barca Sanchez, S. Chauhan, M. Chertok, J. Conway, R. Conway, P.T. Cox, R. Erbacher, M. Gardner, W. Ko, A. Kopecky, R. Lander, T. Miceli, M. Mulhearn, D. Pellett, J. Pilot, F. Ricci-Tam, B. Rutherford, M. Searle, S. Shalhout, J. Smith, M. Squires, M. Tripathi, S. Wilbur, R. Yohay

**University of California, Los Angeles, USA**

V. Andreev, D. Cline, R. Cousins, S. Erhan, P. Everaerts, C. Farrell, M. Felcini, J. Hauser, M. Ignatenko, C. Jarvis, G. Rakness, E. Takasugi, V. Valuev, M. Weber

**University of California, Riverside, Riverside, USA**

J. Babb, R. Clare, J. Ellison, J.W. Gary, G. Hanson, J. Heilman, P. Jandir, F. Lacroix, H. Liu, O.R. Long, A. Luthra, M. Malberti, H. Nguyen, A. Shrinivas, J. Sturdy, S. Sumowidagdo, S. Wimpenny

**University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA**

W. Andrews, J.G. Branson, G.B. Cerati, S. Cittolin, R.T. D'Agnolo, D. Evans, A. Holzner, R. Kelley, D. Kovalskyi, M. Lebourgeois, J. Letts, I. Macneill, S. Padhi, C. Palmer, M. Pieri, M. Sani, V. Sharma, S. Simon, E. Sudano, M. Tadel, Y. Tu, A. Vartak, S. Wasserbaech<sup>56</sup>, F. Würthwein, A. Yagil, J. Yoo

**University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, USA**

D. Barge, J. Bradmiller-Feld, C. Campagnari, T. Danielson, A. Dishaw, K. Flowers, M. Franco

Sevilla, P. Geffert, C. George, F. Golf, J. Incandela, C. Justus, R. Magaña Villalba, N. Mccoll, V. Pavlunin, J. Richman, R. Rossin, D. Stuart, W. To, C. West

**California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA**

A. Apresyan, A. Bornheim, J. Bunn, Y. Chen, E. Di Marco, J. Duarte, D. Kcira, A. Mott, H.B. Newman, C. Pena, C. Rogan, M. Spiropulu, V. Timciuc, R. Wilkinson, S. Xie, R.Y. Zhu

**Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA**

V. Azzolini, A. Calamba, R. Carroll, T. Ferguson, Y. Iiyama, D.W. Jang, M. Paulini, J. Russ, H. Vogel, I. Vorobiev

**University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, USA**

J.P. Cumalat, B.R. Drell, W.T. Ford, A. Gaz, E. Luiggi Lopez, U. Nauenberg, J.G. Smith, K. Stenson, K.A. Ulmer, S.R. Wagner

**Cornell University, Ithaca, USA**

J. Alexander, A. Chatterjee, J. Chu, N. Eggert, L.K. Gibbons, W. Hopkins, A. Khukhunaishvili, B. Kreis, N. Mirman, G. Nicolas Kaufman, J.R. Patterson, A. Ryd, E. Salvati, W. Sun, W.D. Teo, J. Thom, J. Thompson, J. Tucker, Y. Weng, L. Winstrom, P. Wittich

**Fairfield University, Fairfield, USA**

D. Winn

**Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, USA**

S. Abdullin, M. Albrow, J. Anderson, G. Apollinari, L.A.T. Bauerdick, A. Beretvas, J. Berryhill, P.C. Bhat, K. Burkett, J.N. Butler, V. Chetluru, H.W.K. Cheung, F. Chlebana, S. Cihangir, V.D. Elvira, I. Fisk, J. Freeman, Y. Gao, E. Gottschalk, L. Gray, D. Green, S. Grünendahl, O. Gutsche, J. Hanlon, D. Hare, R.M. Harris, J. Hirschauer, B. Hooberman, S. Jindariani, M. Johnson, U. Joshi, K. Kaadze, B. Klima, S. Kwan, J. Linacre, D. Lincoln, R. Lipton, T. Liu, J. Lykken, K. Maeshima, J.M. Marraffino, V.I. Martinez Outschoorn, S. Maruyama, D. Mason, P. McBride, K. Mishra, S. Mrenna, Y. Musienko<sup>34</sup>, S. Nahn, C. Newman-Holmes, V. O'Dell, O. Prokofyev, N. Ratnikova, E. Sexton-Kennedy, S. Sharma, A. Soha, W.J. Spalding, L. Spiegel, L. Taylor, S. Tkaczyk, N.V. Tran, L. Uplegger, E.W. Vaandering, R. Vidal, A. Whitbeck, J. Whitmore, W. Wu, F. Yang, J.C. Yun

**University of Florida, Gainesville, USA**

D. Acosta, P. Avery, D. Bourilkov, T. Cheng, S. Das, M. De Gruttola, G.P. Di Giovanni, D. Dobur, R.D. Field, M. Fisher, Y. Fu, I.K. Furic, J. Hugon, B. Kim, J. Konigsberg, A. Korytov, A. Kropivnitskaya, T. Kypreos, J.F. Low, K. Matchev, P. Milenov<sup>57</sup>, G. Mitselmakher, L. Muniz, A. Rinkevicius, L. Shchutska, N. Skhirtladze, M. Snowball, J. Yelton, M. Zakaria

**Florida International University, Miami, USA**

V. Gaultney, S. Hewamanage, S. Linn, P. Markowitz, G. Martinez, J.L. Rodriguez

**Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA**

T. Adams, A. Askew, J. Bochenek, J. Chen, B. Diamond, J. Haas, S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, K.F. Johnson, H. Prosper, V. Veeraraghavan, M. Weinberg

**Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, USA**

M.M. Baarmand, B. Dorney, M. Hohlmann, H. Kalakhety, F. Yumiceva

**University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, USA**

M.R. Adams, L. Apanasevich, V.E. Bazterra, R.R. Betts, I. Bucinskaite, R. Cavanaugh, O. Evdokimov, L. Gauthier, C.E. Gerber, D.J. Hofman, S. Khalatyan, P. Kurt, D.H. Moon, C. O'Brien, C. Silkworth, P. Turner, N. Varelas

**The University of Iowa, Iowa City, USA**

U. Akgun, E.A. Albayrak<sup>51</sup>, B. Bilki<sup>58</sup>, W. Clarida, K. Dilsiz, F. Duru, M. Haytmyradov, J.-P. Merlo, H. Mermerkaya<sup>59</sup>, A. Mestvirishvili, A. Moeller, J. Nachtman, H. Ogul, Y. Onel, F. Ozok<sup>51</sup>, A. Penzo, R. Rahmat, S. Sen, P. Tan, E. Tiras, J. Wetzel, T. Yetkin<sup>60</sup>, K. Yi

**Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA**

B.A. Barnett, B. Blumenfeld, S. Bolognesi, D. Fehling, A.V. Gritsan, P. Maksimovic, C. Martin, M. Swartz

**The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA**

P. Baringer, A. Bean, G. Benelli, J. Gray, R.P. Kenny III, M. Murray, D. Noonan, S. Sanders, J. Sekaric, R. Stringer, Q. Wang, J.S. Wood

**Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA**

A.F. Barfuss, I. Chakaberia, A. Ivanov, S. Khalil, M. Makouski, Y. Maravin, L.K. Saini, S. Shrestha, I. Svintradze

**Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, USA**

J. Gronberg, D. Lange, F. Rebassoo, D. Wright

**University of Maryland, College Park, USA**

A. Baden, B. Calvert, S.C. Eno, J.A. Gomez, N.J. Hadley, R.G. Kellogg, T. Kolberg, Y. Lu, M. Marionneau, A.C. Mignerey, K. Pedro, A. Skuja, J. Temple, M.B. Tonjes, S.C. Tonwar

**Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA**

A. Apyan, R. Barbieri, G. Bauer, W. Busza, I.A. Cali, M. Chan, L. Di Matteo, V. Dutta, G. Gomez Ceballos, M. Goncharov, D. Gulhan, M. Klute, Y.S. Lai, Y.-J. Lee, A. Levin, P.D. Luckey, T. Ma, C. Paus, D. Ralph, C. Roland, G. Roland, G.S.F. Stephans, F. Stöckli, K. Sumorok, D. Velicanu, J. Veverka, B. Wyslouch, M. Yang, A.S. Yoon, M. Zanetti, V. Zhukova

**University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA**

B. Dahmes, A. De Benedetti, A. Gude, S.C. Kao, K. Klapoetke, Y. Kubota, J. Mans, N. Pastika, R. Rusack, A. Singovsky, N. Tambe, J. Turkewitz

**University of Mississippi, Oxford, USA**

J.G. Acosta, L.M. Cremaldi, R. Kroeger, S. Oliveros, L. Perera, D.A. Sanders, D. Summers

**University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, USA**

E. Avdeeva, K. Bloom, S. Bose, D.R. Claes, A. Dominguez, R. Gonzalez Suarez, J. Keller, D. Knowlton, I. Kravchenko, J. Lazo-Flores, S. Malik, F. Meier, G.R. Snow

**State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, USA**

J. Dolen, A. Godshalk, I. Iashvili, S. Jain, A. Kharchilava, A. Kumar, S. Rappoccio

**Northeastern University, Boston, USA**

G. Alverson, E. Barberis, D. Baumgartel, M. Chasco, J. Haley, A. Massironi, D. Nash, T. Orimoto, D. Trocino, D. Wood, J. Zhang

**Northwestern University, Evanston, USA**

A. Anastassov, K.A. Hahn, A. Kubik, L. Lusito, N. Mucia, N. Odell, B. Pollack, A. Pozdnyakov, M. Schmitt, S. Stoynev, K. Sung, M. Velasco, S. Won

**University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, USA**

D. Berry, A. Brinkerhoff, K.M. Chan, A. Drozdetskiy, M. Hildreth, C. Jessop, D.J. Karmgard, N. Kellams, J. Kolb, K. Lannon, W. Luo, S. Lynch, N. Marinelli, D.M. Morse, T. Pearson, M. Planer, R. Ruchti, J. Slaunwhite, N. Valls, M. Wayne, M. Wolf, A. Woodard

**The Ohio State University, Columbus, USA**

L. Antonelli, B. Bylsma, L.S. Durkin, S. Flowers, C. Hill, R. Hughes, K. Kotov, T.Y. Ling, D. Puigh, M. Rodenburg, G. Smith, C. Vuosalo, B.L. Winer, H. Wolfe, H.W. Wulsin

**Princeton University, Princeton, USA**

E. Berry, P. Elmer, V. Halyo, P. Hebda, A. Hunt, P. Jindal, S.A. Koay, P. Lujan, D. Marlow, T. Medvedeva, M. Mooney, J. Olsen, P. Piroué, X. Quan, A. Raval, H. Saka, D. Stickland, C. Tully, J.S. Werner, S.C. Zenz, A. Zuranski

**University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, USA**

E. Brownson, A. Lopez, H. Mendez, J.E. Ramirez Vargas

**Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA**

E. Alagoz, V.E. Barnes, D. Benedetti, G. Bolla, D. Bortoletto, M. De Mattia, A. Everett, Z. Hu, M.K. Jha, M. Jones, K. Jung, M. Kress, N. Leonardo, D. Lopes Pegna, V. Maroussov, P. Merkel, D.H. Miller, N. Neumeister, B.C. Radburn-Smith, I. Shipsey, D. Silvers, A. Svyatkovskiy, F. Wang, W. Xie, L. Xu, H.D. Yoo, J. Zablocki, Y. Zheng

**Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, USA**

N. Parashar, J. Stupak

**Rice University, Houston, USA**

A. Adair, B. Akgun, K.M. Ecklund, F.J.M. Geurts, W. Li, B. Michlin, B.P. Padley, R. Redjimi, J. Roberts, J. Zabel

**University of Rochester, Rochester, USA**

B. Betchart, A. Bodek, R. Covarelli, P. de Barbaro, R. Demina, Y. Eshaq, T. Ferbel, A. Garcia-Bellido, P. Goldenzweig, J. Han, A. Harel, D.C. Miner, G. Petrillo, D. Vishnevskiy, M. Zielinski

**The Rockefeller University, New York, USA**

A. Bhatti, R. Ciesielski, L. Demortier, K. Goulianos, G. Lungu, S. Malik, C. Mesropian

**Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, USA**

S. Arora, A. Barker, J.P. Chou, C. Contreras-Campana, E. Contreras-Campana, D. Duggan, D. Ferencek, Y. Gershtein, R. Gray, E. Halkiadakis, D. Hidas, A. Lath, S. Panwalkar, M. Park, R. Patel, V. Rekovic, J. Robles, S. Salur, S. Schnetzer, C. Seitz, S. Somalwar, R. Stone, S. Thomas, P. Thomassen, M. Walker

**University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA**

K. Rose, S. Spanier, Z.C. Yang, A. York

**Texas A&M University, College Station, USA**

O. Bouhali<sup>61</sup>, R. Eusebi, W. Flanagan, J. Gilmore, T. Kamon<sup>62</sup>, V. Khotilovich, V. Krutelyov, R. Montalvo, I. Osipenkov, Y. Pakhotin, A. Perloff, J. Roe, A. Rose, A. Safonov, T. Sakuma, I. Suarez, A. Tatarinov, D. Toback

**Texas Tech University, Lubbock, USA**

N. Akchurin, C. Cowden, J. Damgov, C. Dragoiu, P.R. Duderod, J. Faulkner, K. Kovitanggoon, S. Kunori, S.W. Lee, T. Libeiro, I. Volobouev

**Vanderbilt University, Nashville, USA**

E. Appelt, A.G. Delannoy, S. Greene, A. Gurrola, W. Johns, C. Maguire, Y. Mao, A. Melo, M. Sharma, P. Sheldon, B. Snook, S. Tuo, J. Velkovska



**University of Virginia, Charlottesville, USA**

M.W. Arenton, S. Boutle, B. Cox, B. Francis, J. Goodell, R. Hirosky, A. Ledovskoy, H. Li, C. Lin, C. Neu, J. Wood

**Wayne State University, Detroit, USA**

S. Gollapinni, R. Harr, P.E. Karchin, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don, P. Lamichhane

**University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA**

D.A. Belknap, L. Borrello, D. Carlsmith, M. Cepeda, S. Dasu, S. Duric, E. Friis, M. Grothe, R. Hall-Wilton, M. Herndon, A. Hervé, P. Klabbers, J. Klukas, A. Lanaro, C. Lazaridis, A. Levine, R. Loveless, A. Mohapatra, I. Ojalvo, T. Perry, G.A. Pierro, G. Polese, I. Ross, T. Sarangi, A. Savin, W.H. Smith, N. Woods

†: Deceased

- 1: Also at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria
- 2: Also at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland
- 3: Also at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
- 4: Also at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia
- 5: Also at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia
- 6: Also at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil
- 7: Also at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 8: Also at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France
- 9: Also at Suez University, Suez, Egypt
- 10: Also at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt
- 11: Also at Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt
- 12: Also at Fayoum University, El-Fayoum, Egypt
- 13: Also at Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt
- 14: Also at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt
- 15: Now at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt
- 16: Also at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France
- 17: Also at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany
- 18: Also at The University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA
- 19: Also at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary
- 20: Also at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary
- 21: Also at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary
- 22: Also at Tata Institute of Fundamental Research - HECR, Mumbai, India
- 23: Now at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
- 24: Also at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India
- 25: Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka
- 26: Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran
- 27: Also at Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran
- 28: Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
- 29: Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy
- 30: Also at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) - IN2P3, Paris, France
- 31: Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA
- 32: Also at Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Morelia, Mexico
- 33: Also at National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland
- 34: Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia

- 
- 35: Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia
  - 36: Also at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
  - 37: Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy
  - 38: Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy
  - 39: Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece
  - 40: Also at Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland
  - 41: Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
  - 42: Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
  - 43: Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey
  - 44: Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey
  - 45: Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey
  - 46: Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey
  - 47: Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey
  - 48: Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey
  - 49: Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey
  - 50: Also at Istanbul University, Faculty of Science, Istanbul, Turkey
  - 51: Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey
  - 52: Also at Kahramanmaras Sütcü Imam University, Kahramanmaras, Turkey
  - 53: Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom
  - 54: Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom
  - 55: Also at INFN Sezione di Perugia; Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy
  - 56: Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA
  - 57: Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
  - 58: Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA
  - 59: Also at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey
  - 60: Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey
  - 61: Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar
  - 62: Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea